

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/709,845 06/01/2004		06/01/2004	Jeng-Yih WU	NAUP0563USA	3844	
27765	7590	11/16/2005		EXAMINER		
NORTH A	MERICA	. INTELLECTUAL	REHM, ADAM C			
P.O. BOX 5				APTIBUT	PAPER NUMBER	
MERRIFIE	LD, VA	22116	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2875		

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	. Appl	licant(s)				
		10/709,845	wu	ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art U	Jnit				
		Adam C. Rehm	2875	5				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cove	r sheet with the corresp	oondence address				
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN risions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS CO FR 1.136(a). In no event, how on. period will apply and will expire statute, cause the application	OMMUNICATION. /ever, may a reply be timely filed SIX (6) MONTHS from the mail to become ABANDONED (35 U	I ling date of this communication. .S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)□		This action is non-fir	al.					
3)	, -							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims		·					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election require	ement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is required if the	e drawing(s) is objected	to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary (PTO-4					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S		Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/4/2005</u> .		Other:					

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 2. Claims 4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MASUMOTO (US 5,418,583) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of applicant's admitted prior art. MASUMOTO discloses the claimed invention, but does not specifically disclose a PS converter or a high-pressure mercury lamp light source. However, applicant admits that prior art projectors use PS converters for polarizing light beams (Page 2, Lines 9-10, Fig. 1) and high-pressure mercury lamps (Page 2, Lines 11-14), which are commonly used in projectors due to well-known properties including high lumens, long life, color constancy and low cost. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify MASUMOTO and use the PS converter and mercury lamp as taught by applicant in order to polarize light beams from an efficient light source.
- 3. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MASUMOTO (US 5,418,583) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of WEI ET AL. (US 2004/0145710). MASUMOTO discloses the claimed invention, but does not specifically disclose an invisible-light/UV/IR cut filter positioned at an acute angle of 11-45 degrees to the first array. However, WEI teaches positioning such a filter at an acute angle (Paragraph 26) for the purpose of diverting filtered/harmful light away from the image module to avoid damaging the module (Paragraph 25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify MASUMOTO

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1-3, 7, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by MASUMOTO (US 5,418,583), which discloses a projector (Column 1, Lines 6-14) comprising:
 - A light source (1);
 - A first lens array positioned on a side of the light source (4, Fig. 16);
 - An invisible-light/UV/IR cut filter being non-parallel with the first array (105);
 - A second lens array positioned on the same side of the light source as the first lens array (5); and
 - A light source housing/reflector (3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/709,845 Page 4

Art Unit: 2875

and use the acutely-angled invisible-light/UV/IR filter as taught by WEI in order to direct harmful light away from sensitive components, thus preserving the image module/unit.

4. Claims 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MASUMOTO (US 5,418,583) and WEI ET AL. (US 2004/0145710). MASUMOTO discloses a projector (Column 1, Lines 6-14) comprising a light source (1); a first lens array positioned on a side of the light source (4, Fig. 16); a second lens array positioned on the same side of the light source as the first lens array (5); an invisible-light/UV/IR cut filter being non-parallel with the first array and between the first and second arrays (105); and a light source housing/reflector (3). However, MASUMOTO does not disclose an invisible-light/UV/IR cut filter positioned at an acute angle to the first array. However, WEI teaches positioning such a filter at an acute angle of 11-45 degrees (Paragraph 26, Fig. 2) for the purpose of diverting filtered/harmful light away from the image module to avoid damaging the module (Paragraph 25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify MASUMOTO and use the acutely-angled invisible-light/UV/IR filter as taught by WEI in order to direct harmful light away from sensitive components, thus preserving the image module/unit.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

5. O'BRIEN ET AL. (WO 03/064919) discloses a tilted IR filter for reflecting harmful light to away from a light source.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,845

Art Unit: 2875

6. MENSALES ET AL. (US 2004/0228137) discloses a tilting IR filter.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adam C. Rehm whose telephone number is 571.272.8589. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571.272.2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ACR 11/9/2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5